

①

③

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2514 2004.

PETITIONER:

- 1) Rajesh Lakra
S/O- Late Hemish Lakra
Aged about 34 years,
Constable No.602
P.S. -Dantewada
Distt- Dantewada (C.G.)

R. No. 2522/04
Presented by Shri S.S. Mishra
dated 12-8-04

Versus

RESPONDENTS:-



RECEIVED COPY
11.8.04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

- 1) Union of India
Through- Secretary,
Public grievances and
Govt. of India.
- 2) State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary,
Department of Home (Police)
Raipur(C.G.)
- 3) State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through: Secretary,
Department of Home (Police)
Bhopal (M.P.)
- 4) Inspector General of Police,
Bastar Range,
Jagdalpur(C.G.)
- 5) Director General of Police,
Police Head Quarter,
Raipur(C.G.)
- 6) Superintendent of Police
Dantewada
Distt-Dantewada (C.G.)

Received
Rajkumar
11/8/04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.**

आदेश पत्रक

WP 2514/04

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>27/8/2004</u> Shri Vinod Lalchandani for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing Counsel for Union of India. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer, for the State. Heard. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to allocation of the petitioner. He further submits that in similar matter i.e. W.P. No.478/2004 (Ashok Kumar Singh and others vs. Union of India and others) orders have been passed by this Court on 9/6/2004. He prays for similar order in this petition also. Learned counsel for Union of India and State submitted that relying on the statement of the counsel for the petitioner made at the Bar, similar orders may be passed. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and relying on the statement made at the Bar and in view of the judgment cited above, the action of the State authorities in not relieving the petitioner cannot be sustained and the petitioner is entitled for relieving. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from the date of production of this order. Consequently, M.W.P. No.2230/04 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

[पीछे देखिये]